

THE HALF-QUANTUM GROUP $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$

ZHEN WANG AND HUI-XIANG CHEN

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ denote the half quantum group for a fixed simple Lie algebra. We examine some properties and representation of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$. We prove that the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ is not quasi-cocommutative, and hence the category of left $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module is not a braided monoidal category. In the weight module category $\mathcal{W}$, we describe all the simple objects and the projective objects. We also describe all simple Yetter-Drinfel'd $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -weight modules.

Introduction

For a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, Drinfel'd and Jimbo introduced a class of non-commutative non-cocommutative Hopf algebras $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ independently (see [4, 8]). These algebras are called the quantized enveloping algebra and regarded as certain deformations of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$. There are many authors to have studied them. The reader can find a detailed introduction about them in any one of [3, 7, 9]. Let $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ denote the upper triangular Hopf subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, called the half quantum group. Then the Yetter-Drinfel'd modules over $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ provide universal R -matrices and solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation. They are also of interest in connection with knot theory and invariant of three-manifolds ([11, 14]).

When q is not a root of unity, the representation theory of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is essentially the same as that of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. However, if q is a root of unity, the situation changes dramatically since $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ contains a large central subalgebra in this case ([3]). For the half quantum group $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$, the similar situation appears. In this case, one can form a finite dimensional quotient Hopf algebra $u^{\geq 0}$ of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$. In [5], Gunnlaugsdóttir discussed the monoidal property of $u^{\geq 0}$ when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. For simply laced Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, Cibils gave a presentation of $u^{\geq 0}$ by quiver and relations, and showed that only $u^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is of finite representation type, the others are of wild representation type [2].

The purpose of this article is to examine some properties and representation theory of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ for any simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

In section 1, we first review the definition and some properties of quantum group $U = U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, and give the definition and some properties of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$. Then we discuss the quasi-cocommutative property of a graded Hopf algebra. We show that $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ is not quasi-cocommutative, and hence the category ${}_{\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}}\mathcal{M}$ of left $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -modules

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20G42, 8D10.

Key words and phrases. half quantum group, quasi-cocommutative, Yetter-Drinfel'd module. The work is supported by NSF, No.10471121.

is not a braided monoidal category. Recall that the category ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ of Yetter-Drinfel'd H -modules is a braided monoidal category when H^{cop} is a Hopf algebra (cf. [15]). It is well-known that the center $\mathcal{Z}({}_H\mathcal{M})$ of ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ is a braided monoidal category, tensor equivalent to ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ (cf. [9, Theorem XIII.5.1]). We also consider the quotient algebra $u^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{g})$ and generalize the results in [5]. In section 2, we discuss the simple modules, Verma modules and the indecomposable projective objects in the weight module category $\mathcal{W}$. Moreover, the monoidal structure of $\mathcal{W}$ is considered. We give the decomposition of the tensor product of two Verma modules. We also describe the simple Yetter-Drinfel'd $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -modules which are weight modules. Using Radford's results in [13], we show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set $\mathcal{G}((\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^\circ) \times \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})$ and the set $\mathcal{E}$ of isomorphic classes of the simple Yetter-Drinfel'd $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -modules which are weight modules.

For basic background about quantum group and Hopf algebra, the reader is directed to [7, 9, 12].

1. The structure of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$

1.1. Throughout, k is an algebraically closed field with characteristic 0, $k^\times = k \setminus \{0\}$, and $q \in k^\times$ with $q \neq \pm 1$. Unless otherwise stated, all algebras, Hopf algebras and modules are defined over k ; $\dim$, $\otimes$ and Hom stand for $\dim_k$, $\otimes_k$ and Hom_k , respectively. We will use Sweedler's sigma notation for the comultiplication of a Hopf algebra (cf. [12]). Let $\mathbb{Z}$ denote the integer set, and $\mathbb{Z}_+$ denote the non-negative integer set. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $[n]_q = (q^n - q^{-n})/(q - q^{-1})$. As usual, we define $[0]_q! = 1$ and $[n]_q! = [n]_q[n-1]_q \cdots [1]_q$ for $n \geq 1$, and the Gaussian q -binomial coefficients

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[j]_q![n-j]_q!}, \quad n \geq j \geq 0.$$

For a fixed simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with rank n , let $C = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ be its Cartan matrix. Then there exists a diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n\}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ such that DC is symmetric, i.e., $d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. We may assume that each $d_i > 0$ and $\sum_i d_i$ is as minimal as possible. Let $q_i = q^{d_i}$. Then the quantized enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U} = U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ is a k -algebra with generators E_i, F_i, K_i, K_i^{-1} ($1 \leq i \leq n$) subject to the relations: for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & K_i K_j = K_j K_i, \quad K_i K_i^{-1} = K_i^{-1} K_i = 1, \\ (2) \quad & K_i E_j K_i^{-1} = q_j^{a_{ji}} E_j, \\ (3) \quad & K_i F_j K_i^{-1} = q_j^{-a_{ji}} F_j, \\ (4) \quad & E_i F_j - F_j E_i = \delta_{ij} (K_i - K_i^{-1}) / (q_i - q_i^{-1}), \\ (5) \quad & \sum_{s=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ s \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} E_i^{1-a_{ij}-s} E_j E_i^s = 0, \quad \text{if } i \neq j, \\ (6) \quad & \sum_{s=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ s \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} F_i^{1-a_{ij}-s} F_j F_i^s = 0, \quad \text{if } i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{U}$ is a Hopf algebra with comultiplication Δ , antipode S and counit ε defined by

$$(7) \quad \Delta E_i = E_i \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes E_i, \Delta F_i = F_i \otimes K_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes F_i, \Delta K_i = K_i \otimes K_i,$$

$$(8) \quad SE_i = -K_i^{-1}E_i, SF_i = -F_iK_i, SK_i = K_i^{-1},$$

$$(9) \quad \varepsilon E_i = 0, \varepsilon F_i = 0, \varepsilon K_i = 1,$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Let $\mathcal{U}^+$, $\mathcal{U}^-$ and $\mathcal{U}^0$ be the subalgebras of $\mathcal{U}$ generated by $\{E_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, $\{F_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $\{K_i, K_i^{-1} | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ respectively. It follows from (1)-(6) that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^- \mathcal{U}^0 \mathcal{U}^+$. Moreover, the multiplication gives a k -vector space isomorphism

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{U}^- \otimes \mathcal{U}^0 \otimes \mathcal{U}^+ \cong \mathcal{U}.$$

Let $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} = \mathcal{U}^0 \mathcal{U}^+$ be a subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}$ generated by $\{E_i, K_i, K_i^{-1} | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Actually, we can directly define $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ as a k -algebra with the generators $E_i, K_i, K_i^{-1}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, and the relations (1),(2) and (5) above. Let $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^+$ and $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^0$ denote the subalgebras of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ generated by $\{E_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $\{K_i, K_i^{-1} | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, respectively. Then clearly $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^+ = \mathcal{U}^+$ and $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^0 = \mathcal{U}^0$. It's easy to check that $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ is a Hopf subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}$. In this paper, we will mainly study the properties and the representation theory of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$.

1.2. For a given Cartan matrix (a_{ij}) , let $P = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \varpi_i$ be the weight lattice. Define simple roots by

$$\alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} \varpi_i, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, $Q = \mathbb{Z}\Delta$ (the root lattice), and $Q_+ = \sum_i \mathbb{Z}_+ \alpha_i$. Then there is a partial ordering on P defined by $\mu \leq \lambda$ if $\lambda - \mu \in Q_+$.

Define automorphisms γ_i of P by $\gamma_i \varpi_j = \varpi_j - \delta_{ij} \alpha_i$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$). Then $\gamma_i \alpha_j = \alpha_j - a_{ij} \alpha_i$. Let W be the (finite) subgroup of $GL(P)$ generated by $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$, called the Weyl group. Then Q is W -invariant. Let $R = W\Delta$, $R^+ = R \cap Q_+$ and $R^- = -R^+$. Then R is a root system corresponding to the Cartan matrix (a_{ij}) , R^+ a set of positive roots, $R = R^+ \cup R^-$.

Fix a reduced expression $\gamma_{i_1} \gamma_{i_2} \dots \gamma_{i_N}$ of the longest element ω_0 of W . This gives us an ordering of the set of positive roots R^+ :

$$\beta_1 = \alpha_{i_1}, \beta_2 = \gamma_{i_1} \alpha_{i_2}, \dots, \beta_N = \gamma_{i_1} \dots \gamma_{i_{N-1}} \alpha_{i_N}.$$

Let T_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be the automorphisms of $\mathcal{U}$ satisfying

$$T_i(E_j) = \begin{cases} -F_i K_i, & \text{if } i = j, \\ \sum_{l=0}^r (-1)^{l+r} q_i^{-l} E_i^{(r-l)} E_j E_i^{(l)}, & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

where $r = -a_{ij}$ and $E_i^{(l)} = \frac{E_i^l}{[l]_{q_i}!}$. Define root vectors (see [3, 7]) by

$$E_{\beta_s} = T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_{s-1}} E_{i_s}, \quad 1 \leq s \leq N.$$

From now on, let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra with rank n , $C = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ be its Cartan matrix, and $D = \text{diag}\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n\}$ be a diagonal matrix over $\mathbb{Z}$ such that DC is symmetric as before. We also assume that each $d_i > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i$ is minimal.

Theorem 1.2.1. *With the above notations, we have*

- (1) $\{E_{\beta_1}, E_{\beta_2}, \dots, E_{\beta_N}\} \subset (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^+$.
- (2) $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n\} \subset \{E_{\beta_1}, E_{\beta_2}, \dots, E_{\beta_N}\}$. More precisely, suppose that $\alpha_t = \beta_j$. Then $E_{\beta_j} = E_t$.
- (3) For an integer r with $1 \leq r \leq N$, let $\omega = \gamma_{i_1} \gamma_{i_2} \dots \gamma_{i_r} \in W$ with $l(\omega) = r$. Let $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^+(\omega) = k\langle E_{\beta_1}, E_{\beta_2}, \dots, E_{\beta_r} \rangle$ be the subalgebra of $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^+$ generated by $E_{\beta_1}, E_{\beta_2}, \dots, E_{\beta_r}$. Then the monomials $E_{\beta_1}^{m_1} E_{\beta_2}^{m_2} \dots E_{\beta_r}^{m_r}$ are a basis of $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^+(\omega)$, where $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$ run over $\mathbb{Z}_+$.
- (4) $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^+(\omega_0) = (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^+$.
- (5) $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \simeq (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^0 \otimes (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^+$ as vector spaces.

Proof. It follows from the properties of $\mathcal{U}$ given in [1] and the fact $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^+ = \mathcal{U}^+$, $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^0 = \mathcal{U}^0$. $\square$

We may also describe $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ as follows. $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^+$ is a right $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^0$ -module algebra with the right action of $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^0$ on $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^+$ determined by

$$E_j \cdot K_i = q_j^{-a_{ji}} E_j, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

Then one can construct the smash product algebra $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^0 \# (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^+$: $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^0 \# (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^+ = (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^0 \otimes (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^+$ as a vector space, and the multiplication is given by

$$(a \otimes x)(b \otimes y) = \sum ab_{(1)} \otimes (x \cdot b_{(2)})y, \quad a, b \in (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^0, \quad x, y \in (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^+.$$

Theorem 1.2.2. $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cong (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^0 \# (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^+$ as algebras.

Proof. It is a straightforward verification. $\square$

For $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ and $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \alpha_i \in Q$, set $E^{\mathbf{k}} = E_{\beta_1}^{k_1} \dots E_{\beta_N}^{k_N}$ and $K_\lambda = K_1^{t_1} \dots K_n^{t_n}$. Then by Theorem 1.2.1, $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ has a PBW basis given by $\{E^{\mathbf{k}} K_\lambda | \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N, \lambda \in Q\}$.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\deg(K_i) = 0$ and $\deg(E_i) = \alpha_i$. Then $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ is a $Q_+ (= \mathbb{Z}_+ \Delta)$ -graded algebra since the definition relations of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ are homogeneous polynomials under the grading. Obviously, $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ is a graded Hopf algebra with respect to the grading.

Let I be a fixed set. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2.3. *Let $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ I} A_i$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_+ I$ -graded algebra. If $x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ I} x_i \in A$ is an invertible element, then so is $x_0 \in A_0$. Consequently, x_0 is nonzero.*

Proof. Let $y = \sum y_i \in A$ such that $xy = yx = 1$. Then $xy = (x_0 + x')(y_0 + y') = x_0 y_0 + x_0 y' + x' y_0 + x' y' = 1$, where $x' = \sum_{i \neq 0} x_i$ and $y' = \sum_{i \neq 0} y_i$. By comparing the homogeneous components of degree 0 of the two sides, one gets $x_0 y_0 = 1$. Similarly, $y_0 x_0 = 1$. Therefore, x_0 is invertible. $\square$

Recall that a Hopf algebra H is called quasi-cocommutative if there exists an invertible element $R \in H \otimes H$ such that $\Delta^{op}(h) = R\Delta(h)R^{-1}$ for any $h \in H$. In this case, we also say that (H, R) is quasi-cocommutative.

Lemma 1.2.4. *Let H be a $\mathbb{Z}_+I$ -graded Hopf algebra. Then $H \otimes H$ is also a $\mathbb{Z}_+I$ -graded Hopf algebra with $(H \otimes H)_i = \bigoplus_{j+l=i} H_j \otimes H_l$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+I$. Assume there exists an invertible element $R \in H \otimes H$ such that (H, R) is quasi-cocommutative. Let $R = \sum_i R_i$ with $R_i \in (H \otimes H)_i$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+I$. Then both (H, R_0) and (H_0, R_0) are quasi-cocommutative.*

Proof. Note that $(H \otimes H)_0 = H_0 \otimes H_0$. It follows from Lemma 1.2.3 that R_0 is invertible. Let $h \in H_i$ with $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+I$. Since (H, R) is quasi-cocommutative, we have $\Delta^{op}(h) = R\Delta(h)R^{-1}$, and hence $\Delta^{op}(h)R = R\Delta(h)$. By comparing the homogeneous components of degree i of the two sides of the last equation, one gets $R_0\Delta(h) = \Delta^{op}(h)R_0$. Hence (H, R_0) is quasi-cocommutative. Since H_0 is Hopf subalgebra of H and R_0 is an invertible element in H_0 , (H_0, R_0) is also quasi-cocommutative. $\square$

Using the above lemma, one can get the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2.5. *The Hopf algebra $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ is not quasi-cocommutative.*

Proof. If there exists an invertible $R \in \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \otimes \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ such that $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}, R)$ is quasi-cocommutative, then by Lemma 1.2.4 we may assume $R \in (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})_0 \otimes (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})_0 = (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^0 \otimes (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^0$. Let $R = \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{j,l} K^j \otimes K^l$, where $K^r = K_1^{r_1} K_2^{r_2} \cdots K_n^{r_n}$ for $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $e_i = (\delta_{1i}, \dots, \delta_{ni})$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Putting $h = E_1, \dots, E_n$ in the equation $R\Delta(h) = \Delta^{op}(h)R$, respectively, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
 (11) \quad & \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{j,l} q_1^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{1i} j_i} E_1 K^j \otimes K^l + \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{j,l} q_1^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{1i} l_i} K^{j+e_1} \otimes E_1 K^l \\
 &= \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{j,l} K^j \otimes E_1 K^l + \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{j,l} E_1 K^j \otimes K^{l+e_1}, \\
 & \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{j,l} q_2^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{2i} j_i} E_2 K^j \otimes K^l + \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{j,l} q_2^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{2i} l_i} K^{j+e_2} \otimes E_2 K^l \\
 &= \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{j,l} K^j \otimes E_2 K^l + \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{j,l} E_2 K^j \otimes K^{l+e_2}, \\
 & \dots \dots \dots \\
 & \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{j,l} q_{n-1}^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{n-1,i} j_i} E_{n-1} K^j \otimes K^l + \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{j,l} q_{n-1}^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{n-1,i} l_i} K^{j+e_{n-1}} \otimes E_{n-1} K^l \\
 &= \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{j,l} K^j \otimes E_{n-1} K^l + \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{j,l} E_{n-1} K^j \otimes K^{l+e_{n-1}}, \\
 & \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{j,l} q_n^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} j_i} E_n K^j \otimes K^l + \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{j,l} q_n^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} l_i} K^{j+e_n} \otimes E_n K^l \\
 &= \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{j,l} K^j \otimes E_n K^l + \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{j,l} E_n K^j \otimes K^{l+e_n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of these equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (12) \quad & a_{j,l} = q_1^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{1i}l_i} a_{j-e_1,l}, & a_{j,l} &= q_1^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{1i}j_i} a_{j,l+e_1}, \\
 & a_{j,l} = q_2^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{2i}l_i} a_{j-e_2,l}, & a_{j,l} &= q_2^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{2i}j_i} a_{j,l+e_2}, \\
 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\
 & a_{j,l} = q_{n-1}^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{n-1,i}l_i} a_{j-e_{n-1},l}, & a_{j,l} &= q_{n-1}^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{n-1,i}j_i} a_{j,l+e_{n-1}}, \\
 & a_{j,l} = q_n^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}l_i} a_{j-e_n,l}, & a_{j,l} &= q_n^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}j_i} a_{j,l+e_n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any $j, l, j', l' \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we have $a_{j,l} = ba_{j',l'}$ for some $b \in k^\times$. Since $R = \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{j,l} K^j \otimes K^l$ is a finite sum (i.e., almost all $a_{j,l}$ are equal to zero), all $a_{j,l}$ are equal to zero. So $R = 0$, which contradicts to the invertibility of R . $\square$

When q is a primitive r -th root of unity, set

$$d = \begin{cases} r, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \\ r/2, & \text{if } r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Let $u^{\geq 0}$ be the quotient algebra of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ modulo the ideal generated by $\{K_i^d - 1, E_i^d | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. If $d > d_0 = \max\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n\}$, then $u^{\geq 0}$ is a graded Hopf algebra, where $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$ are the diagonal entries of D . If $d \leq d_0$, then $u^{\geq 0}$ is not a Hopf algebra, i.e., the ideal generated by $\{K_i^d - 1, E_i^d | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is not a Hopf ideal. In the rest of this section, we always assume $d > d_0$.

For $j = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n)$, $l = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^n$ and $X = (x_{si})_{n \times n} \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$, let $A(j, l) = jXl^t = \sum_{s,i=1}^n x_{si}j_s l_i \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, where l^t is the transpose of l . Clearly, $A(j, l) = A(l, j)$ if X is a symmetric matrix.

Theorem 1.2.6. $u^{\geq 0}$ is quasi-cocommutative if and only if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and $r = 4$.

Proof. If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and $r = 4$, then $u^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is exactly the Sweedler's 4-dimensional Hopf algebra. It's well-known that $(u^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2), R)$ is a triangular Hopf algebra, where $R = 1/2(1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes K + K \otimes 1 - K \otimes K)$. Hence $(u^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2), R)$ is quasi-cocommutative.

Now we assume $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{sl}_2$ or $r \neq 4$, and assume there exists an invertible element $R = \sum_{j,l \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^n} a_{j,l} K^j \otimes K^l \in (u^{\geq 0})_0 \otimes (u^{\geq 0})_0$ such that $(u^{\geq 0}, R)$ is quasi-cocommutative. An argument similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2.5 shows that we have equations (12), where $j, l \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^n$. It follows from (12) that $a_{j,0} = a_{0,l} = a_{0,0}$ and $a_{j,0} = q^{A(j,l)} a_{j,l} = q^{2A(j,l)} a_{0,l}$ for all $j, l \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^n$, where $A(j, l) = jDCl^t \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ as above, and DC is the symmetrization of the corresponding Cartan matrix C . Now one can deduce a contradiction for each case by considering the value of $A(j, l)$. For example, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type G_2 , then $n = 2$ and $DC = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & -3 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. In this case, let $j = (0, 1), l = (1, 1)$. Then $A(j, l) = -1$. Since $q^{-2} \neq 1$, $a_{0,l} = a_{j,0} = a_{0,0} = 0$, and so $a_{j,l} = 0$ for all $j, l \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^2$. Thus $R = 0$, a contradiction. $\square$

For the case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and $r = 4$, it follows from the proof of Theorem 1.2.6 that an invertible element $R \in (u^{\geq 0})_0 \otimes (u^{\geq 0})_0$ with $(u^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{g}), R)$ being quasi-cocommutative must have the form

$$R = \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} q^{A(j,l)} aK^j \otimes K^l = \sum_{j,l \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} q^{2jl} aK^j \otimes K^l, \forall a \in k^\times.$$

Remark 1.2.7. 1) For the case $u^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, Gunnlaugsdóttir proved in [5] that $u^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is quasi-cocommutative if and only if $r = 4$.

2) In [9], it was proved that the quantized enveloping algebra $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ is quasi-cocommutative, and so is the quotient Hopf algebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ modulo the ideal generated by $\{K_i^d - 1, E_i^d, F_i^d | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ (which is a Hopf ideal of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$) when q is a root of unity of order r .

2. The representation of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$

In this section, we discuss the representation theory of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$. We use the notations in Section 1 and assume that q is not a root of unity.

Let H be a Hopf algebra with antipode S , and $H^* = \text{Hom}_k(H, k)$ be the dual algebra of H . Let H° denote the finite dual of H , i.e., $H^\circ = \{f \in H^* | f(I) = 0 \text{ for some ideal } I \text{ with } \dim(H/I) < \infty\}$. Then H° has an induced Hopf algebra structure. Let M and N be two left modules over H . Then $M^* = \text{Hom}_k(M, k)$ and $M \otimes_k N$ are also left H -modules defined by $(h \cdot f)(m) = f(S(h) \cdot m)$ and $h \cdot (m \otimes n) = h_{(1)} \cdot m \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot n$, respectively, where $h \in H$, $f \in M^*$, $m \in M$, $n \in N$ (see [12]).

2.1. The simple modules. Let ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ denote the category of all the left modules over a Hopf algebra H . In what follows, an H -module means a left H -module, and an H -comodule means a right H -comodule.

Let M be a $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module. For any $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n) \in (k^\times)^n$, let $M_{(\sigma)} = \{v \in M | K_i \cdot v = \sigma_i v, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. An element $v \in M$ is called a weight vector if $v \in M_{(\sigma)}$ for some $\sigma \in (k^\times)^n$. Then one can easily check that $E_j \cdot M_{(\sigma)} \subset M_{(\epsilon_j \sigma)}$, where $1 \leq j \leq n$, $\epsilon_j = (q_j^{a_{j1}}, q_j^{a_{j2}}, \dots, q_j^{a_{jn}}) \in (k^\times)^n$ and $\epsilon_j \sigma = (q_j^{a_{j1}} \sigma_1, q_j^{a_{j2}} \sigma_2, \dots, q_j^{a_{jn}} \sigma_n)$. It follows that $\sum_{\sigma \in (k^\times)^n} M_{(\sigma)}$ is a submodule of M , and the sum is a direct sum of vector spaces. Let $\Pi(M) = \{\sigma \in (k^\times)^n | M_{(\sigma)} \neq 0\}$, called the weight space of M . If $M = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in (k^\times)^n} M_{(\sigma)}$, then M is called a weight module. Let $\mathcal{W}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}\mathcal{M}$ consisting of all the weight modules. Obviously, $\mathcal{W}$ is closed under the direct sum of modules.

Let $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n) \in (k^\times)^n$. Then σ determines an algebra homomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow k$ given by $\sigma(K_i) = \sigma_i$ and $\sigma(E_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let V_σ denote the corresponding 1-dimensional $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module. Then $K_i \cdot v = \sigma_i v$, $E_i \cdot v = 0$ for any $v \in V_\sigma$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. Obviously, V_σ is a simple $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module. For any σ and τ in $(k^\times)^n$, $V_\sigma \cong V_\tau$ if and only if $\sigma = \tau$.

Now let M be a finite dimensional $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module. Since k is algebraically closed, there is a $\sigma \in (k^\times)^n$ such that $M_{(\sigma)} \neq 0$. Hence $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in (k^\times)^n} M_{(\sigma)} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Pi(M)} M_{(\sigma)}$ is a nonzero submodule of M . Thus if M is a simple $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module, then $M =$

$\oplus_{\sigma \in \Pi(M)} M_{(\sigma)}$, i.e., M is a weight module. It follows that M is a weight module if M is semisimple as a $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module.

Now assume that M is finite dimensional simple $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module. Then $\Pi(M)$ is a non-empty finite set. Since q is not a root of unity, there is a $\sigma \in \Pi(M)$ such that $\epsilon_j \sigma \notin \Pi(M)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Hence $E_j \cdot M_{(\sigma)} = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, and any subspace of $M_{(\sigma)}$ is a submodule of M . It follows that $M = M_{(\sigma)} \cong V_\sigma$. Thus we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1.1. *Any finite dimensional simple $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module is a weight module and must be 1-dimensional. Moreover, there is a 1-1 correspondences between $(k^\times)^n$ and the set of isomorphism classes of finite simple $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -modules.*

Remark 2.1.2. For a Hopf algebra H , let $\mathcal{G}(H)$ denote the set of all the group-like elements in H . It is well-known that an element $f \in H^*$ is a group-like element in H° if and only if f is an algebra map from H to k (cf. [12, Thm 9.1.4]). That is, $\mathcal{G}(H^\circ) = \text{Alg}(H, k)$, the set of algebra homomorphisms from H to k . By the discussion above, an element $\sigma \in (k^\times)^n$ determines an algebra map $\sigma : \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow k$, $\sigma(K_i) = \sigma_i$, $\sigma(E_i) = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. On the other hand, if $\sigma : \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow k$ is an algebra homomorphism, then one can easily check that $\sigma(K_i) \in k^\times$ and $\sigma(E_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ since q is not a root of unity. Hence one can regard $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n) \in (k^\times)^n$, where $\sigma_i = \sigma(K_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. With this identification, we have $(k^\times)^n = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}, k) = \mathcal{G}((\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^\circ)$.

2.2. The Verma module. For any $\sigma \in (k^\times)^n$, V_σ is a 1-dimensional $\mathcal{U}^0$ -module since $\mathcal{U}^0$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$. Hence one can form another $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module $M(\sigma) := \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}^0} V_\sigma$. We call $M(\sigma)$ a Verma module. Since $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cong \mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}^0$ as $\mathcal{U}^+$ - $\mathcal{U}^0$ -bimodules, $M(\sigma) = \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}^0} V_\sigma \cong (\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}^0) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}^0} V_\sigma \cong \mathcal{U}^+ \otimes V_\sigma \cong \mathcal{U}^+$ as $\mathcal{U}^+$ -modules. That is, $M(\sigma)$ is a free $\mathcal{U}^+$ -module of rank 1. Pick up a nonzero element $x_\sigma \in V_\sigma$ and set $v_\sigma = 1 \otimes_{\mathcal{U}^0} x_\sigma$ in $M(\sigma)$. Then $M(\sigma) = \mathcal{U}^+ \cdot v_\sigma$.

It is not difficult to check that $M(\sigma) \simeq M(\mathbf{1}) \otimes V_\sigma \simeq V_\sigma \otimes M(\mathbf{1})$ as left $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -modules for any $\sigma \in (k^\times)^n$, where $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in (k^\times)^n$.

Lemma 2.2.1. *Let $\sigma, \tau \in (k^\times)^n$. Then we have*

- 1) $M(\sigma)$ is a weight module;
- 2) Let $J(\sigma)$ denote the submodule of $M(\sigma)$ generated by $E_1 \cdot v_\sigma, \dots, E_n \cdot v_\sigma$. Then $J(\sigma)$ is a unique maximal submodule of $M(\sigma)$;
- 3) $L(\sigma) := M(\sigma)/J(\sigma)$ is a 1-dimensional simple module and $L(\sigma) \cong V_\sigma$;
- 4) $M(\sigma)$ is indecomposable.
- 5) $M(\sigma) \cong M(\tau)$ if and only if $\sigma = \tau$.

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ is a Q_+ -graded Hopf algebra with grading given by $\deg(K_i) = 0$ and $\deg(E_i) = \alpha_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Obviously, $\mathcal{U}^+$ is a graded subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$. For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, the map $\mathcal{U}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^+$, $u \mapsto K_i u K_i^{-1}$, is a graded algebra automorphism since $K_i E_j K_i^{-1} = q^{d_j a_{ji}} E_j$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Now let $u \in \mathcal{U}^+$ be a homogeneous element with $\deg(u) = \sum_{j=1}^n l_j \alpha_j \in Q_+ = \mathbb{Z}_+ \Delta$. Then $K_i u K_i^{-1} = q^{\sum_{j=1}^n l_j d_j a_{ji}} u = q^{\sum_{j=1}^n l_j d_i a_{ij}} u$. Hence in $M(\sigma)$, we have $K_i \cdot (u \cdot v_\sigma) = (K_i u K_i^{-1}) \cdot (K_i \cdot v_\sigma) = \sigma_i q^{\sum_{j=1}^n l_j d_i a_{ij}} (u \cdot v_\sigma)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus $u \cdot v_\sigma$ is a weight vector with the weight $\tau \in (k^\times)^n$ given by $\tau_i = \sigma_i q^{\sum_{j=1}^n l_j d_i a_{ij}}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. This shows Part 1).

Let $u, u' \in \mathcal{U}^+$. Since C is a non-degenerate matrix (cf. [6]) and q is not a root of unity, it follows from the above argument that $u \cdot v_\sigma \in M(\sigma)$ is a weight vector if and only if u is a homogeneous element. Moreover, if both u and u' are homogeneous then $u \cdot v_\sigma$ and $u' \cdot v_\sigma$ have the same weight if and only if $\deg(u) = \deg(u')$. Furthermore, $u \cdot v_\sigma \in M(\sigma)_{(\sigma)}$ if and only if $\deg(u) = 0$ if and only if $K_i u K_i^{-1} = u$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

From [7, p.161], we know that each E_{β_s} is a homogeneous element with $\deg(E_{\beta_s}) = \beta_s \neq 0$, $s = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Hence if $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$, then $E^{\mathbf{k}}$ is a homogeneous element with $\deg(E^{\mathbf{k}}) = \sum_{s=1}^N k_s \beta_s$. In particular, if $\mathbf{k} \neq 0$ then $\deg(E^{\mathbf{k}}) \neq 0$. Since $\{E^{\mathbf{k}} | \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N\}$ is a k -basis of $\mathcal{U}^+$, the homogeneous component of $\mathcal{U}^+$ of degree 0 is $k1$, where 1 is the identity in $\mathcal{U}^+$. It follows that $M(\sigma)_{(\sigma)} = kv_\sigma$, $v_\sigma \notin J(\sigma)$ and $\sum_{\tau \neq \sigma} M(\sigma)_{(\tau)} = \text{span}\{E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma | 0 \neq \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N\}$. Clearly, if $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_+^N$, then $E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \in J(\sigma)$. Therefore, $J(\sigma) = \sum_{\tau \neq \sigma} M(\sigma)_{(\tau)} = \text{span}\{E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma | 0 \neq \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N\}$ and $M(\sigma)/J(\sigma) \cong V_\sigma$. This shows Part 3).

It is easy to check that any submodule of a weight module is also a weight module. Now let M' be a proper submodule of $M(\sigma)$. Then M' is a weight module by Part (1). Since $v_\sigma \notin M'$ and $M(\sigma)_{(\sigma)} = kv_\sigma$, $M'_{(\sigma)} = 0$, i.e., $\sigma \notin \Pi(M')$. Hence $M' = \sum_{\tau \in \Pi(M')} M'_{(\tau)} \subseteq J(\sigma)$ by the last paragraph. This shows Parts 2) and 4).

If $\sigma = \tau$, then clearly $M(\sigma) \cong M(\tau)$. If $\sigma \neq \tau$, then $V_\sigma \not\cong V_\tau$, and so $M(\sigma) \not\cong M(\tau)$. This shows Part 5). $\square$

Proposition 2.2.2. *Let M be a $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module and let $\sigma \in (k^\times)^n$. Then there is an epimorphism $f : M(\sigma) \rightarrow M$ if and only if there exists a weight vector $v \in M$ with weight σ such that $M = \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot v = \mathcal{U}^+ \cdot v$.*

Proof. It's trivial. $\square$

Define a partial order " $\geq$ " on $(k^\times)^n$: $\sigma \geq \tau$ if $\sigma\tau^{-1} = \epsilon_1^{s_1} \epsilon_2^{s_2} \dots \epsilon_n^{s_n}$ for some $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, where $\sigma, \tau \in (k^\times)^n$ and $\sigma\tau^{-1} := (\sigma_1\tau_1^{-1}, \sigma_2\tau_2^{-1}, \dots, \sigma_n\tau_n^{-1})$. Let M be a $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module. A weight vector $v \in M_{(\sigma)}$ with weight $\sigma \in (k^\times)^n$ is called a lowest weight vector if there is no another weight vector w with weight $\tau \in \Pi(M)$ such that $v \in \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot w$ and $w \notin \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot v$. A weight module M is called the lowest weight module if $M = \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot v$ for some lowest weight vector v .

Clearly, every Verma module $M(\sigma)$ is a lowest weight module.

Proposition 2.2.3. *Let M is a weight module over $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$. Then M is a lowest weight module if and only if M is a quotient of some $M(\sigma)$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.2.2. $\square$

Note that $\mathcal{W}$ is the category of all the weight modules. one can easily check that $\mathcal{W}$ is closed under tensor products, submodules and quotient modules.

Proposition 2.2.4. *Up to isomorphism of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -modules, we have*

1) $\{V_\sigma, \sigma \in (k^\times)^n\}$ *is a complete set of simple objects in $\mathcal{W}$.*

2) $\{M(\sigma) | \sigma \in (k^\times)^n\}$ is a set of nonisomorphic indecomposable projective objects in $\mathcal{W}$.

Proof. 1) Let V be a simple object in $\mathcal{W}$. Since V is a weight module, one can pick up a nonzero weight vector $v \in V$ with weight σ . Then $V = \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot v$, which is isomorphic to a quotient of $M(\sigma)$ by Proposition 2.2.2. It follows from Lemma 2.2.1 that V is isomorphic to V_σ .

2) By Lemma 2.2.1, each $M(\sigma)$ is indecomposable. Let $f : M \rightarrow L$ be an epimorphism and $g : M(\sigma) \rightarrow L$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{W}$. Then for any $\tau \in (k^\times)^n$, $f(M(\tau)) = L(\tau)$ and $g(M(\sigma)_{(\tau)}) \subseteq L(\tau)$. Hence there exists a weight vector $m \in M(\sigma)$ such that $f(m) = g(v_\sigma)$. Define $\phi : M(\sigma) \rightarrow M$ by $\phi(u \cdot v_\sigma) = u \cdot m$, $u \in \mathcal{U}^+$. Since $M(\sigma)$ is a free $\mathcal{U}^+$ -module with a $\mathcal{U}^+$ -basis $\{v_\sigma\}$, ϕ is well-defined. It is easy to see that ϕ is a $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module morphism and $f\phi = g$. Hence $M(\sigma)$ is an indecomposable projective object in $\mathcal{W}$ for any $\sigma \in (k^\times)^n$. By Lemma 2.2.1, $M(\sigma) \not\cong M(\tau)$ if $\sigma \neq \tau$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Now we consider the tensor product of two weight modules. The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 2.2.5. *Let M and N be two weight modules. Then $M \otimes N$ is also a weight module and*

$$(M \otimes N)_{(\sigma)} = \bigoplus_{\tau \nu = \sigma} M_{(\tau)} \otimes N_{(\nu)}.$$

Notice that $V_\sigma \otimes V_\tau \cong V_\tau \otimes V_\sigma \cong V_{\sigma\tau}$ for any $\sigma, \tau \in (k^\times)^n$.

We already know that $\mathcal{U}^+$ is a Q_+ -graded algebra, and the homogeneous component $(\mathcal{U}^+)_\eta$ of degree η is equal to $\text{span}\{E_{\beta_1}^{k_1} \cdots E_{\beta_N}^{k_N} | \sum_{i=1}^N k_i \beta_i = \eta\}$, where $\eta \in Q_+$.

Define a group homomorphism $F : Q \rightarrow (k^\times)^n$ by $F(\alpha_i) = \epsilon_i$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, and ϵ_i is given as before. For a Verma module $M(\sigma)$, define a map $F_\sigma : Q_+ \rightarrow \Pi(M(\sigma))$ by

$$F_\sigma\left(\sum_{i=1}^n l_i \alpha_i\right) = F\left(\sum_{i=1}^n l_i \alpha_i\right)\sigma = \epsilon_1^{l_1} \epsilon_2^{l_2} \cdots \epsilon_n^{l_n} \sigma.$$

It is not difficult to check that F_σ is a bijective map. Let $\eta \in Q_+$. If $F_\sigma(\eta) = \tau$, then $M(\sigma)_{(\tau)} = (\mathcal{U}^+)_{\eta} \cdot v_\sigma$ by the proof of Lemma 2.2.1, and so $\dim M(\sigma)_{(\tau)} = \dim (\mathcal{U}^+)_{\eta}$.

For any $\sigma, \sigma' \in (k^\times)^n$, $M(\sigma) \otimes M(\sigma')$ is also a weight module. We discuss the decomposition of $M(\sigma) \otimes M(\sigma')$.

Lemma 2.2.6. *Let $\sigma, \sigma' \in (k^\times)^n$. Then the elements $E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}$ are lowest weight vectors in $M(\sigma) \otimes M(\sigma')$, where $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ and $v = E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}$. Then by the proof of Lemma 2.2.1, v is a weight vector. Assume there is a weight vector $w = \sum_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} a_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} E^{\mathbf{r}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes E^{\mathbf{s}} \cdot v_{\sigma'}$ in $M(\sigma) \otimes M(\sigma')$ with weight τ such that $v \in \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot w$ and $w \notin \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot v$. Since v is a weight vector, $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot v = \mathcal{U}^+ \cdot v$. Hence there exists a homogeneous element $h \in \mathcal{U}^+$

such that

$$(*) \quad E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_{\sigma} \otimes v_{\sigma'} = h \cdot \left(\sum_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} a_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} E^{\mathbf{r}} \cdot v_{\sigma} \otimes E^{\mathbf{s}} \cdot v_{\sigma'} \right) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} a_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} h_{(1)} E^{\mathbf{r}} \cdot v_{\sigma} \otimes h_{(2)} E^{\mathbf{s}} \cdot v_{\sigma'}.$$

Let $\deg(h) = \eta \in Q_+$. Then $h \in (\mathcal{U}^+)_\eta$. By [7, p.59], we have

$$\Delta((\mathcal{U}^+)_\eta) \subseteq \bigoplus_{0 \leq \mu \leq \eta} ((\mathcal{U}^+)_{\eta-\mu} K_\mu \otimes (\mathcal{U}^+)_\mu).$$

From $w \notin \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot v$, one knows that $\eta \neq 0$. Therefore, we have $\Delta(h) = K_\eta \otimes h + \sum_{i=1}^m h_i \otimes g_i$, where $h_i \in (\mathcal{U}^+)_{\eta-\mu_i} K_{\mu_i}$ and $g_i \in (\mathcal{U}^+)_{\mu_i}$ with $0 \leq \mu_i \leq \eta$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. We may assume $h_i = a_i E^{\mathbf{k}_i} K_{\mu_i}$ and $g_i = E^{\mathbf{l}_i}$ with $a_i \in k^\times$ and $\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{l}_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ such that $\deg(E^{\mathbf{k}_i}) = \eta - \mu_i$ and $\deg(E^{\mathbf{l}_i}) = \mu_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Thus from Eq. (*), one gets

$$E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_{\sigma} \otimes v_{\sigma'} = \sum_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} a_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} K_\eta E^{\mathbf{r}} \cdot v_{\sigma} \otimes h E^{\mathbf{s}} \cdot v_{\sigma'} + \sum_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, i} a_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} h_i E^{\mathbf{r}} \cdot v_{\sigma} \otimes g_i E^{\mathbf{s}} \cdot v_{\sigma'}.$$

By the proof of Lemma 2.2.1, we have $a_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} K_\eta E^{\mathbf{r}} \cdot v_{\sigma} = a'_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} E^{\mathbf{r}} \cdot v_{\sigma}$ with $a'_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} = b a_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}}$ for some $b \in k^\times$, and $a_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} h_i E^{\mathbf{r}} \cdot v_{\sigma} = a_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} a_i E^{\mathbf{k}_i} K_{\mu_i} E^{\mathbf{r}} \cdot v_{\sigma} = b_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, i} E^{\mathbf{k}_i} E^{\mathbf{r}} \cdot v_{\sigma}$ with $b_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, i} = c_i a_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}}$ for some $c_i \in k^\times$. Therefore, we have

$$E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_{\sigma} \otimes v_{\sigma'} = \sum_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} a'_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} E^{\mathbf{r}} \cdot v_{\sigma} \otimes h E^{\mathbf{s}} \cdot v_{\sigma'} + \sum_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, i} b_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, i} E^{\mathbf{k}_i} E^{\mathbf{r}} \cdot v_{\sigma} \otimes E^{\mathbf{l}_i} E^{\mathbf{s}} \cdot v_{\sigma'}.$$

Since $M(\sigma)$ and $M(\sigma')$ are two free $\mathcal{U}^+$ -modules with $\mathcal{U}^+$ -basis v_{σ} and $v_{\sigma'}$, respectively, $M(\sigma) \otimes M(\sigma')$ is a free $\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}^+$ -module with a $\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}^+$ -basis $v_{\sigma} \otimes v_{\sigma'}$. Hence the last equation is equivalent to

$$(**) \quad E^{\mathbf{k}} \otimes 1 = \sum_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} a'_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} E^{\mathbf{r}} \otimes h E^{\mathbf{s}} + \sum_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, i} b_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, i} E^{\mathbf{k}_i} E^{\mathbf{r}} \otimes E^{\mathbf{l}_i} E^{\mathbf{s}}.$$

Since $\{E^{\mathbf{k}} | \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N\}$ is a k -basis of $\mathcal{U}^+$ by Theorem 1.2.1, $\{E^{\mathbf{k}} \otimes E^{\mathbf{l}} | \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N\}$ is a k -basis of $\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}^+$. It follows from [3, Corollary 1.8] that $\mathcal{U}$ has no zero divisors. Hence $\{h E^{\mathbf{s}} | \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N\}$ are linearly independent over k , and so are $\{E^{\mathbf{r}} \otimes h E^{\mathbf{s}} | \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N\}$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{U}^+$ is a Q^+ -graded algebra, $\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}^+$ is a graded $Q^+ \oplus Q^+$ -graded algebra with the grading given by $\deg(E^{\mathbf{r}} \otimes E^{\mathbf{s}}) = (\deg(E^{\mathbf{r}}), \deg(E^{\mathbf{s}}))$, where $\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$. When $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N) \neq \mathbf{0}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_+^N$, $\deg(E^{\mathbf{s}}) = \sum_{j=1}^N s_j \beta_j \neq 0$. Let $\deg(E^{\mathbf{s}'})$ be a maximal element in the finite subset $\{\deg(E^{\mathbf{s}}) | a'_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} \neq 0 \text{ for some } \mathbf{r}\}$ of Q . Then there is an $\mathbf{r}'$ such that $a'_{\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{s}'} \neq 0$. We claim that $\deg(E^{\mathbf{r}'} \otimes h E^{\mathbf{s}'}) \notin \{\deg(E^{\mathbf{k}_i} E^{\mathbf{r}} \otimes E^{\mathbf{l}_i} E^{\mathbf{s}}) | b_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, i} \neq 0\}$. In fact, If $\deg(E^{\mathbf{r}'} \otimes h E^{\mathbf{s}'}) = \deg(E^{\mathbf{k}_i} E^{\mathbf{r}} \otimes E^{\mathbf{l}_i} E^{\mathbf{s}})$ for some $b_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, i} \neq 0$, then $\deg(h E^{\mathbf{s}'}) = \deg(E^{\mathbf{l}_i} E^{\mathbf{s}})$. Hence $\deg(h) + \deg(E^{\mathbf{s}'}) = \deg(E^{\mathbf{l}_i}) + \deg(E^{\mathbf{s}})$, which implies that $\deg(E^{\mathbf{s}}) - \deg(E^{\mathbf{s}'}) = \deg(h) - \deg(E^{\mathbf{l}_i}) = \eta - \mu_i \geq 0$, a contradiction, since $b_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, i} \neq 0$ iff $a_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} \neq 0$ iff $a'_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} \neq 0$. Thus, the homogeneous component of degree $\deg(E^{\mathbf{r}'} \otimes h E^{\mathbf{s}'})$ of the element $\sum_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} a'_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} E^{\mathbf{r}} \otimes h E^{\mathbf{s}} + \sum_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, i} b_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, i} E^{\mathbf{k}_i} E^{\mathbf{r}} \otimes E^{\mathbf{l}_i} E^{\mathbf{s}}$ is not zero. Since $\deg(h E^{\mathbf{s}'}) = \eta + \deg(E^{\mathbf{s}'}) \neq 0$, $\deg(E^{\mathbf{r}'} \otimes h E^{\mathbf{s}'}) \neq \deg(E^{\mathbf{k}} \otimes 1) = (\sum_{j=1}^N k_j \beta_j, 0)$. Thus, Eq. (**) implies a contradiction. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 2.2.7. *For any $\sigma, \sigma' \in (k^\times)^n$, there is a direct sum decomposition in $\mathcal{W}$*

$$(13) \quad M(\sigma) \otimes M(\sigma') = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}).$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$. Assume $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}) \cap \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot (E^{\mathbf{l}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}) \neq \{0\}$. Then there is a nonzero weight vector v in $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}) \cap \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot (E^{\mathbf{l}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}) = \mathcal{U}^+ \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}) \cap \mathcal{U}^+ \cdot (E^{\mathbf{l}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'})$. Hence $v = g \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}) = h \cdot (E^{\mathbf{l}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'})$ for some homogeneous elements $g, h \in \mathcal{U}^+$. Let $\deg(g) = \xi$ and $\deg(h) = \eta$ in Q_+ . If $\eta = 0$, then we may assume $h = 1$, and hence $E^{\mathbf{l}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'} = g \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}) \in \mathcal{U}^+ \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'})$. From Lemma 2.2.6, both $E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}$ and $E^{\mathbf{l}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}$ are lowest weight vectors. Hence $E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'} \in \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot (E^{\mathbf{l}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}) = \mathcal{U}^+ \cdot (E^{\mathbf{l}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'})$, and so $E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'} = g' \cdot (E^{\mathbf{l}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'})$ for some homogeneous element g' in $\mathcal{U}^+$. Thus we have $E^{\mathbf{l}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'} = (gg') \cdot (E^{\mathbf{l}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'})$. By comparing their weights, one gets $\deg(gg') = 0$, which implies $\deg(g) = 0$. So g is a nonzero scale and $E^{\mathbf{l}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'} = g \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}) = gE^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}$. Since $M(\sigma) \otimes M(\sigma')$ is a free $\mathcal{U}^+ \oplus \mathcal{U}^+$ -module with a basis $v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}$, it follows that $E^{\mathbf{l}} \otimes 1 = gE^{\mathbf{k}} \otimes 1$, and so $\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{k}$, a contradiction. Hence $\eta \neq 0$. Similarly, we also have $\xi \neq 0$.

Now as in the proof of Lemma 2.2.6, we may assume

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(h) &= K_\eta \otimes h + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i E^{\mathbf{k}_i} K_{\eta_i} \otimes E^{\mathbf{l}_i}, \\ \Delta(g) &= K_\xi \otimes g + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j E^{\mathbf{s}_j} K_{\xi_j} \otimes E^{\mathbf{r}_j}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_i, b_j \in k^\times$, $0 \leq \eta_i \leq \eta$, $0 \leq \xi_j \leq \xi$, $\deg(E^{\mathbf{k}_i}) = \eta - \eta_i$, $\deg(E^{\mathbf{l}_i}) = \eta_i$, $\deg(E^{\mathbf{s}_j}) = \xi - \xi_j$ and $\deg(E^{\mathbf{r}_j}) = \xi_j$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot (E^{\mathbf{l}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}) &= aE^{\mathbf{l}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes h \cdot v_{\sigma'} + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i c_i E^{\mathbf{k}_i} E^{\mathbf{l}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes E^{\mathbf{l}_i} \cdot v_{\sigma'}, \\ g \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}) &= bE^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes g \cdot v_{\sigma'} + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j d_j E^{\mathbf{s}_j} E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes E^{\mathbf{r}_j} \cdot v_{\sigma'} \end{aligned}$$

for some $a, b, c_i, d_j \in k^\times$. Since $M(\sigma) \otimes M(\sigma')$ is a free $\mathcal{U}^+ \oplus \mathcal{U}^+$ -module with a basis $v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}$, one gets

$$(***) \quad aE^{\mathbf{l}} \otimes h + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i c_i E^{\mathbf{k}_i} E^{\mathbf{l}} \otimes E^{\mathbf{l}_i} = bE^{\mathbf{k}} \otimes g + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j d_j E^{\mathbf{s}_j} E^{\mathbf{k}} \otimes E^{\mathbf{r}_j}.$$

in $\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}^+$. Note that $\mathcal{U}^+ \otimes \mathcal{U}^+$ is a $Q_+ \oplus Q_+$ -graded algebra. We have $\deg(E^{\mathbf{l}} \otimes h) = (\deg(E^{\mathbf{l}}), \eta)$, $\deg(E^{\mathbf{k}_i} E^{\mathbf{l}} \otimes E^{\mathbf{l}_i}) = (\eta - \eta_i + \deg(E^{\mathbf{l}}), \eta_i)$, $\deg(E^{\mathbf{k}} \otimes g) = (\deg(E^{\mathbf{k}}), \xi)$ and $\deg(E^{\mathbf{s}_j} E^{\mathbf{k}} \otimes E^{\mathbf{r}_j}) = (\xi - \xi_j + \deg(E^{\mathbf{k}}), \xi_j)$. Since $\eta \geq \eta_i$ and $\xi \geq \xi_j$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, by considering the degrees of the elements in Eq. (***), one gets $aE^{\mathbf{l}} \otimes h = bE^{\mathbf{k}} \otimes g$, which implies $E^{\mathbf{l}} = E^{\mathbf{k}}$ and $h = cg$ for some $c \in k^\times$. This is impossible since $\mathbf{l} \neq \mathbf{k}$. Thus we have proved that the sum $\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'})$ is direct.

From the above argument, one can see that $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}) = \mathcal{U}^+ \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'})$ is a free $\mathcal{U}^+$ -module of rank 1 with a $\mathcal{U}^+$ -basis $E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}$, where $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$. Hence $\dim((\mathcal{U}^+)_\eta \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'})) = \dim(\mathcal{U}^+)_\eta$ for any $\eta \in Q_+$ and $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$.

For any $\tau \in \Pi(M(\sigma) \otimes M(\sigma'))$, the weight vector space

$$\begin{aligned}
(M(\sigma) \otimes M(\sigma'))_{(\tau)} &= \bigoplus_{\nu\mu=\tau} M(\sigma)_{(\nu)} \otimes M(\sigma')_{(\mu)} \\
&= \bigoplus_{\nu\mu=\tau} (\mathcal{U}^+)_{F_\sigma^{-1}(\nu)} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes (\mathcal{U}^+)_{F_{\sigma'}^{-1}(\mu)} \cdot v_{\sigma'} \\
&= \bigoplus_{\substack{\eta, \xi \in Q_+ \\ F_{\sigma\sigma'}(\eta+\xi)=\tau}} (\mathcal{U}^+)_{\eta} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes (\mathcal{U}^+)_{\xi} \cdot v_{\sigma'},
\end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma 2.2.5, the third equality follows from the facts that F_σ and $F_{\sigma'}$ are bijective, and $F_{\sigma\sigma'}(\eta + \xi) = F_\sigma(\eta)F_{\sigma'}(\xi)$. On the other hand, for any $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N), \mathbf{l} = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$, $E^{\mathbf{l}} \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'})$ is a weight vector with weight $F_{\sigma\sigma'}(\deg(E^{\mathbf{l}}) + \deg(E^{\mathbf{k}})) = F_{\sigma\sigma'}(\deg(E^{\mathbf{l}} E^{\mathbf{k}})) = F_{\sigma\sigma'}(\sum_{j=1}^N (l_j + k_j)\beta_j)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\bigoplus_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}))_{(\tau)} \\
&= (\bigoplus_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \mathcal{U}^+ \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}))_{(\tau)} \\
&= (\bigoplus_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} E^{\mathbf{l}} \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}))_{(\tau)} \\
&= \text{span}\{E^{\mathbf{l}} \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}) \mid \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N, F_{\sigma\sigma'}(\deg(E^{\mathbf{l}}) + \deg(E^{\mathbf{k}})) = \tau\} \\
&= \bigoplus_{\substack{\eta, \xi \in Q_+ \\ F_{\sigma\sigma'}(\eta+\xi)=\tau}} (\mathcal{U}^+)_{\eta} \cdot ((\mathcal{U}^+)_{\xi} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now let $\eta, \xi \in Q_+$ with $F_{\sigma\sigma'}(\eta + \xi) = \tau$. Since $M(\sigma) \otimes M(\sigma')$ is a free $\mathcal{U}^+ \oplus \mathcal{U}^+$ -module with a basis $v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}$, one knows that $\dim((\mathcal{U}^+)_{\eta} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes (\mathcal{U}^+)_{\xi} \cdot v_{\sigma'}) = \dim((\mathcal{U}^+)_{\eta} \otimes (\mathcal{U}^+)_{\xi}) = (\dim(\mathcal{U}^+)_{\eta})(\dim(\mathcal{U}^+)_{\xi}) < \infty$. On the other hand, since $\{E^{\mathbf{k}} \mid \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N, \deg(E^{\mathbf{k}}) = \xi\}$ is a k -basis of $(\mathcal{U}^+)_{\xi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{U}^+)_{\eta} \cdot ((\mathcal{U}^+)_{\xi} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}) &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N \\ \deg(E^{\mathbf{k}})=\xi}} (\mathcal{U}^+)_{\eta} \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}) \\
&= \bigoplus_{\substack{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N \\ \deg(E^{\mathbf{k}})=\xi}} (\mathcal{U}^+)_{\eta} \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}),
\end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim((\mathcal{U}^+)_{\eta} \cdot ((\mathcal{U}^+)_{\xi} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'})) &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N \\ \deg(E^{\mathbf{k}})=\xi}} \dim((\mathcal{U}^+)_{\eta} \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'})) \\
&= (\dim(\mathcal{U}^+)_{\xi})(\dim(\mathcal{U}^+)_{\eta}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\dim((\mathcal{U}^+)_{\eta} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes (\mathcal{U}^+)_{\xi} \cdot v_{\sigma'}) = \dim((\mathcal{U}^+)_{\eta} \cdot ((\mathcal{U}^+)_{\xi} \cdot v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}))$. Since $\{(\eta, \xi) \mid \eta, \xi \in Q_+, F_{\sigma\sigma'}(\eta + \xi) = \tau\}$ is a finite set, we have $\dim((M(\sigma) \otimes M(\sigma'))_{(\tau)}) =$

$\dim((\bigoplus_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}))_{(\tau)})$, and so $(M(\sigma) \otimes M(\sigma'))_{(\tau)} = (\bigoplus_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'}))_{(\tau)}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Corollary 2.2.8. *For any $\sigma, \sigma' \in (k^\times)^n$, there is a $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module isomorphism*

$$M(\sigma) \otimes M(\sigma') \simeq \bigoplus_{\eta \in Q^+} (\dim(\mathcal{U}^+)_\eta) M(F(\eta)\sigma\sigma').$$

Proof. For $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$, let $\eta = \deg(E^{\mathbf{k}}) = \sum_{j=1}^N k_j \beta_j = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \alpha_i$ in Q_+ . Then from the proof of Theorem 2.2.7, one gets that $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot (E^{\mathbf{k}} v_\sigma \otimes v_{\sigma'})$ is isomorphic to $M(F(\eta)\sigma\sigma')$, where $F(\eta)\sigma\sigma' = F_{\sigma\sigma'}(\eta) = \epsilon_1^{s_1} \epsilon_2^{s_2} \dots \epsilon_n^{s_n} \sigma\sigma'$. The corollary follows from Theorem 2.2.7. $\square$

Corollary 2.2.9. *$M(\sigma) \otimes M(\sigma') \simeq M(\sigma') \otimes M(\sigma)$ as left $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -modules for all $\sigma, \sigma' \in (k^\times)^n$.*

2.3. The comodule and the Yetter-Drinfel'd module. Recall that the map $ht : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $ht(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \alpha_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$, is $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear. That is, ht is a group homomorphism from the abelian group Q to the abelian $\mathbb{Z}$. Thus one can define a $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -grading h on $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ by

$$h(E^{\mathbf{k}} K_\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^N k_j ht(\beta_j),$$

where $E^{\mathbf{k}} K_\lambda = E_{\beta_1}^{k_1} \dots E_{\beta_N}^{k_N} K_1^{t_1} \dots K_n^{t_n}$, $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ and $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \alpha_i \in Q$. Then $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} = \bigoplus_{l=0}^\infty (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})_{(l)}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -graded Hopf algebra, where $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})_{(l)} = \text{span}\{E^{\mathbf{k}} K_\lambda | h(E^{\mathbf{k}} K_\lambda) = l, \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N, \lambda \in Q\}$. Particularly, $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})_{(0)} = k[K_1^{\pm 1}, K_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, K_n^{\pm 1}]$ is a Laurent polynomial algebra in n variables.

Since the coradical of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ is contained in $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})_{(0)}$ (cf. [12, Lemma 5.3.4]), one can see that $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})_{(0)} = k\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})$ is the coradical of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$, and $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}) = \{K_\lambda | \lambda \in Q\}$. Hence each simple right coideal of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ is a simple subcoalgebra and has the form kg , where $g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})$.

Let H be a Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode S . Recall that a (left-right) Yetter-Drinfel'd H -module (simply, YD H -module) M is a triple $(M, \cdot, \rho)$ such that $(M, \cdot)$ is a left H -module, (M, ρ) is a right H -comodule, and the following equivalent compatibility conditions are satisfied (cf. [10]):

$$(14) \quad h_{(1)} \cdot m_{(0)} \otimes h_{(2)} m_{(1)} = (h_{(2)} \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes (h_{(2)} \cdot m)_{(1)} h_{(1)},$$

$$(15) \quad \rho(h \cdot m) = h_{(2)} \cdot m_{(0)} \otimes h_{(3)} m_{(1)} S^{-1}(h_{(1)}),$$

where $h \in H$ and $m \in M$. A YD H -module map between two YD H -modules is simultaneously an H -module map and an H -comodule map. Let ${}_H \mathcal{YD}^H$ denote the category of (left-right) YD H -modules and YD H -module maps. This is a braided monoidal category. Let $M \in {}_H \mathcal{YD}^H$. A YD H -submodule of M is both an H -submodule and an H -subcomodule of M . A YD H -module is simple if it has no non-trivial YD H -submodules.

In [13], Radford provided a procedure to construct some YD H -modules through modules and comodules over H .

Let L be a simple module over H . Then $L \otimes H \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ with the action and coaction of H given by

$$h \cdot (l \otimes a) = h_{(2)} \cdot l \otimes h_{(3)} a S^{-1}(h_{(1)}), \quad \rho(l \otimes a) = (l \otimes a_{(1)}) \otimes a_{(2)},$$

where $l \in L, h, a \in H$ (see [13]). Clearly, $L \otimes H \simeq (\dim L)H$ as comodules over H .

For $\beta \in \mathcal{G}(H^\circ)$, let L_β denote the corresponding 1-dimensional simple H -module: $h \cdot l = \beta(h)l$, $h \in H, l \in L_\beta$. Define $H_\beta = (H, \cdot_\beta, \Delta) \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, where $h \cdot_\beta a = (h_{(2)} \leftarrow \beta) a S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) = \beta(h_{(2)}) h_{(3)} a S^{-1}(h_{(1)})$. Then $H_\beta \simeq L_\beta \otimes H$. Let N be a right coideal of H . Then $H_{\beta, N} = H \cdot_\beta N$ is a YD H -submodule of H_β (see [13]).

Lemma 2.3.1. ([13]) *Let $H = \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty H_{(n)}$ be a graded bialgebra over k which affords H^{op} the structure of a graded Hopf algebra over k . Suppose that $H_{(0)}$ is a commutative cocommutative Hopf subalgebra of H^{op} .*

- a) *Let $\beta : H \rightarrow k$ be a map of graded algebras and let N be a simple right coideal of H . Then $H_{\beta, N}$ is a simple YD H -module.*
- b) *Suppose $\beta, \beta' : H \rightarrow k$ are maps of graded algebras and N, N' are simple coideals of H . Then $H_{\beta, N} \simeq H_{\beta', N'}$ if and only if $\beta = \beta'$ and $N = N'$.*

We will apply these results to the half quantum group $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$.

Lemma 2.3.2. *Let $\beta : \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow k$ be an algebra map. Then β is a map of graded algebras.*

Proof. It follows from Remark 2.1.2. □

For any $\beta \in \mathcal{G}((\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^\circ)$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})$, we have $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})_{\beta, g} = \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot_\beta g \subset (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})_\beta$, where $h \cdot_\beta g = \beta(h_{(2)}) h_{(3)} g S^{-1}(h_{(1)})$, $h \in \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ and S is the bijective antipode of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$. By Lemma 2.3.1, $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})_{\beta, g}$ is a simple YD $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module since kg is a simple right coideal of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$.

Theorem 2.3.3. *Let $\Phi(\beta, g) = [(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})_{\beta, g}]$. Then Φ is a bijective map from $\mathcal{G}((\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^\circ) \times \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})$ to the set $\mathcal{E}$ of isomorphic classes of simple YD $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -modules which are weight modules as $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -modules.*

Proof. From the discussion before, $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})_{\beta, g}$ is a simple YD $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module. It is easy to see that $(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})_{\beta, g}$ is also a weight $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module. By Lemma 2.3.1, Φ is injective.

Now let M be a simple YD $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module which is a weight module. Then $M = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in (k \times)^n} M_{(\sigma)}$. Using an argument similar to [13, p.697], one can show that M is a Yetter-Drinfel'd $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -submodule of some $L \otimes \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$. In fact, let N be a simple $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -subcomodule of M . Then $N = km$ with $\rho(m) = m \otimes g$ for some $m \in M$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})$ since each simple subcoalgebra of $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ has the form kg , $g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})$. Let $m = \sum_{j=1}^s m_j$ with $0 \neq m_j \in M_{(\tau^j)}$, where $\tau^1, \tau^2, \dots, \tau^s$ are distinct elements in $\Pi(M)$. If $s \geq 2$, then $\tau_i^1 \neq \tau_i^2$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. By Eq.(15), $\rho(K_i \cdot m) = K_i \cdot m \otimes K_i g S(K_i) = K_i \cdot m \otimes g$, i.e., $\text{span}\{K_i \cdot m\}$ is also a simple subcomodule which is isomorphic to N . Let $m' = \tau_i^1 m - K_i \cdot m$. Then km' is a simple subcomodule of M and $m' \in \bigoplus_{j=2}^s M_{(\tau^j)}$. Continuing this process, one may assume that m is a weight vector with weight σ . Note that $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot N$ is a YD $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -submodule of M

by Eq.(15). So $M = \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot N$ and M is isomorphic, as a $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module, to some quotient of $M(\sigma)$ by Proposition 2.2.2. Since $M(\sigma)$ has a unique maximal $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -submodule, so does M . Let M' be the unique maximal $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -submodule of M . Then $L = M/M'$ is a 1-dimensional $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module and $L \cong V_\sigma$. Define $f : M \rightarrow L \otimes \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ by $f(m) = p(m_{(0)}) \otimes m_{(1)}$, where $p : M \rightarrow L$ denotes the canonical epimorphism. $L \otimes \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ is a YD $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module with the $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -action and $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -coaction given as before. It is easy to see that f is a YD $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module map. Since M is a simple YD $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -module and $f \neq 0$, $\text{Ker } f = 0$, and so M is a YD $\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$ -submodule of $L \otimes \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}$.

Note that $L \otimes \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cong V_\sigma \otimes \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cong (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})_\beta$, where $\beta = \sigma \in (k^\times)^n = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0}, k) = \mathcal{G}((\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})^\circ)$ as stated in Remark 2.1.2. Hence we may regard $M \subset (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})_\beta$. Thus $N = kg$ for some $g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})$, and so $M = \mathcal{U}^{\geq 0} \cdot_\beta g = (\mathcal{U}^{\geq 0})_{\beta, g}$. It follows that Φ is surjective. □

REFERENCES

- [1] K.A.Brown, K.R.Goodearl, Lectures on Algebraic Quantum Groups, Birkhauser, 2002.
- [2] C.Cibils, Half quantum groups at roots of unity, path algebras and representation theory, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **12**(1997)541-553.
- [3] C. De Concini, V.G.Kac, Representations of quantum groups at roots of 1, Progress in Mathematics, Vol.**92**(1990), 471-506.
- [4] V.G.Drinfel'd, Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, Soviet Math. Dokl. **32**(1985)254-258.
- [5] E.Gunnlaugsdóttir, Monoidal structure of the category of u_q^+ -modules, Linear Alg. and its Applications, **365**(2003)183-199.
- [6] J.E.Humphreys, Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heiderberg, Berlin, 1972.
- [7] J.C.Jantzen, Lectures on Quantum Groups, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 6, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1996.
- [8] M.Jimbo, A q-difference analogue of $U(g)$ and the Yang-Baxter equation, Lett. Math. Phys. **10**(1985)63-69.
- [9] C.Kassel, Quantum Groups, Springer, GTM, 155, New York, 1995.
- [10] L.A.Lambe, D.E.Radford, Algebraic aspects of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, J.Algebra **154**(1993)228-288.
- [11] S.Majid, Physics for algebraists: non-commutative and non-cocommutative Hopf algebras by a bicross product construction, J.Algebra **130**(1990)17-64.
- [12] S.Montgomery, Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings, CBMS Series in Math., vol. 82, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1993.
- [13] D.E.Radford, On oriented quantum algebras derived from representatins of the quantum duouble of a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra, J.Algebra **270**(2003),670-695.
- [14] N.Reshetikhin, V.G.Turaev, Invariants of 3-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups, Invent. Math. **103**(1991)547-597.
- [15] D.N.Yetter, Quantum groups and representations of momoidal categories, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **108**(1990)261-290.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YANGZHOU UNIVERSITY, YANGZHOU 225002, CHINA

E-mail address: wangzhen118@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YANGZHOU UNIVERSITY, YANGZHOU 225002, CHINA

E-mail address: hxchen@yzu.edu.cn